1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	THOMAS DENTON,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C10-1966 RJB-JRC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF
13	FRED FIGUEROGA et al.,	TIME TO FIND AN ATTORNEY
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	The district court has referred this 42 U.S.C. §1983 civil rights matter to the undersigned	
17	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636 (b)(1)(A) and (B) and Local Magistrate Judge	
18	Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4. Plaintiff has filed two motions asking the Court to grant him	
19	an extension of time to find replacement counsel for Mr. Sutherland, who the court allowed to	
20	withdraw from the case (ECF Nos. 55 and 58). The motions are identical. The motions are	
21	1 denied.	
22	Defendants responded to the first motion for an extension of time. Defendants state that	
23	they do not oppose "a brief extension of time," but they make clear that they do oppose	
24		

additional continuances in connection with such a request (ECF No. 56). This case is languishing 2 as a result of repeated stipulations for continuances (ECF Nos. 21, 27, 35, 39). 3 In his motions for an extension of time, plaintiff states that "Mr. Sutherland has not given [plaintiff] copies of all outstanding discovery or a copy of the complaint as the court ordered him to before he was to withdraw." Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b) allows the Court to issue orders based on 5 motions brought by a party. If plaintiff is requesting that the Court take some action, he needs to 6 7 file a motion advising the Court what action he believes is appropriate. The current motions for an extension of time do not make any such request. The current motions for continuance (ECF 8 Nos. 55 and 58) are denied. 10 Dated this 28th day of June, 2012. 11 12 J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24